

---

# Arithmetic on general curves and applications

ECC Copenhagen, 22.09.2005

Florian Hess  
Berlin University of Technology

---

1

Copenhagen 22.09.2005

---

## Motivation

This talk is about fast arithmetic in divisor class groups of algebraic curves over finite fields for large genus.

What you do not get from this talk:

- Fast arithmetic for low genus curves optimised for use in a cryptographic system.

Some reasons why to consider this problem:

- Helpful to estimate practicality of index calculus attacks.
- When computing pairings on high genus curves.
- Construction of algebraic geometric codes with good parameters.

---

2-iii

Copenhagen 22.09.2005

---

## Divisor class group

Let  $F = k(C)$  be the function field of the irreducible curve  $C$ .

Places  $P$  of  $F$ :

- Surjective valuation  $v_P : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Divisors  $D$  of  $F$ :

- $D = \sum_P n_P P$  with  $n_P \in \mathbb{Z}$  almost all zero.
- $v_P(D) := n_P$ ,  $\deg(D) := \sum_P n_P \deg(P)$ .
- $(a) := \sum_P v_P(a) P$  for  $a \in F^\times$  principal divisor,  $\deg((a)) = 0$ .

Divisor class group:

- $\text{Cl}^0(F) = (\text{group of degree zero divisors}) / (\text{group of principal divisors})$ .
- Elliptic curves:  $E(k) \cong \text{Cl}^0(k(E))$ ,  $P \mapsto [(P) - (\infty)]$ .

---

3-v

Copenhagen 22.09.2005

---

## Riemann-Roch

Theorem of Riemann-Roch and genus:

- $D_1 \geq D_2 \Leftrightarrow v_P(D_1) \geq v_P(D_2)$  for all  $P$ .
- $\mathcal{L}(D) := \{a \in F^\times \mid (a) \geq -D\} \cup \{0\}$  is a  $k$ -vector space.
- $\dim(\mathcal{L}(D)) = \deg(D) + 1 - g + i(D)$  with  $0 \leq i(D) \leq g$ .

Riemann-Roch problem:

- Compute  $\mathcal{L}(D)$ !

Example:

- $F = k(x)$ ,
- $P_1 = \infty$  with  $v_\infty(z) = -\deg(z)$  for  $z \in F$ ,
- $P_2 = (x-1)$  with  $v_{(x-1)}(z) = \text{power of } x-1 \text{ in } z$  for  $z \in F$ ,
- $D = 7P_1 - 2P_2$ .
- Then  $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{\sum_{i=0}^5 \lambda_i x^i (x-1)^2 \mid \lambda_i \in k\}$ .

---

4-iv

Copenhagen 22.09.2005

## Relation to divisor class groups

### Equality of divisor class groups:

- Let  $[D], [E] \in \text{Cl}^0(F)$ . Then  $[E] = [D]$  iff  $\mathcal{L}(E - D) \neq \emptyset$ .

### Unique class representatives:

- Let  $A$  be a fixed divisor with  $\deg(A) = 1$ .
- For  $[D] \in \text{Cl}^0(F)$  let  $z \in \mathcal{L}(D + rA)$  with  $r \geq 0$  minimal. Write  $D_0 = D + rA + (z)$ .
- Then  $D_0 \geq 0$ ,  $\deg(D_0) \leq g$ ,  $[D_0 - rA] = [D]$  and  $D_0$  is uniquely determined.

### Tangent-and-chord method for elliptic curves in one step:

- $A = \infty$ ,  $D = (P) - (\infty) + (Q) - (\infty)$ .
- Can choose  $r = 1$  because  $g = 1$ .
- $D_0 = (P + Q)$ .  $(P + Q) - (\infty) = (P) - (\infty) + (Q) - (\infty) + (z)$ .

## Previous work

There is a long history of previous work on the **theory** and on **algorithms** for the

- Riemann-Roch problem
- arithmetic in class groups
- algebraic geometric codes
- integration of algebraic functions
- parametrisation of algebraic curves
- ...

Can roughly be divided into

- **arithmetic** methods (integral closures, ideals, ...)
- **geometric** methods (Brill-Noether method of adjoints, ...)

## Previous work

Theory:

- Brill and Noether (1874, 1884),
- Dedekind and Weber (1882), F. K. Schmidt (1931).

**Geometric** and **arithmetic** algorithms for divisor class groups for  $g \rightarrow \infty$ :

1987	<b>Cantor</b>	hyperell. divclgrp	$O(g^2)$
1993	<b>Huang, Ierardi</b>	RR problem + divclgrp for general plane curves	$O(n^6 h(D)^6)$
1994	<b>Volcheck</b>	divclgrp for g. p. curves	$O(\max\{n, g\}^7)$
1998	<b>Galbraith, Paulus, Smart</b>	divclgrp for superell. curves	$O(n^4 g^4)$
1999	<b>Arita</b>	divclgrp for $C_{a,b}$ curves	$O(g^3)$

## Previous work

**Geometric** and **arithmetic** algorithms for divisor class groups for  $g \rightarrow \infty$  (ctd):

1999	<b>Hess</b>	RR problem and divclgrp for general (plane) curves	$O(g^2)$ for fixed $n$
2001 2004	<b>Khuri-Makdisi</b>	divclgrp for general curves with precomputation	$O^\sim(g^3)$

This and next slide  $n = \min\{[F : k(x)] \mid x \in F \text{ separating}\}$ .

---

## Discussion

### KM result:

- Links complexity of `divclgrp` to complexity of linear algebra over  $k$  in dimension  $O^\sim(g)$ .
- Probably optimal in the general case ( $n \gtrsim g/2$ ).
- Fast linear algebra  $O^\sim(g^\omega)$  with  $\omega = 2.376$ .

### H result:

- Links complexity of `divclgrp` to complexity of polynomial arithmetic over  $k$  in degree  $O(g)$ .
- Probably optimal under the assumption  $n = O(1)$ .
- Fast polynomial arithmetic  $O^\sim(g)$ .

This talk: Combine both running time characteristics towards  $O^\sim(gn^{\omega-1})$  with  $n = O(g)$ .

---

## Divisor and ideal class groups

### Let

- $x \in F$  be separating with  $(x)_\infty = nP$  and  $\deg(P) = 1$ ,
- $R = \text{IntCl}(k[x], F)$ .
- $n = O(g)$ .

### Then

- $R$  is a Dedekind domain.
- Ideals  $I \neq \{0\}$  of  $R$  are free  $k[x]$ -modules of rank  $n$  and form a multiplicative monoid with cancellation law.
- $\text{Cl}(R) = (\text{group of fractional ideals}) / (\text{group of principal ideals})$ .
- $\text{Cl}(R) \cong \text{Cl}^0(F)$ .

---

## Arithmetic in the ideal class group

Represent ideal classes  $[I]$  by integral ideals  $I$  of small „degree“.

### Basic ideal operations for integral ideals $I, J$ :

- Simple multiplication: Compute  $zI$  for  $z \in J$ .
- Integral division: Compute  $I/J$  for  $J|I$ .

### Degree reduction:

- $Rz/I$  has small degree if  $z \in I$  has degree close to that of  $I$ .
- Do not necessarily get unique reduction ...

---

## Arithmetic in the ideal class group

### Arithmetic operations for $[I], [J] \in \text{Cl}(R)$ :

- Division:  $[I][J]^{-1} = [(zI)/J]$  for  $z \in J$ .
- Inversion: Use division with  $[I] = [R]$ .
- Multiplication: Use division and inversion.

### Equality test for $[I], [J] \in \text{Cl}(R)$ :

- Let  $[K] = [I][J]^{-1}$ .
- Then  $[I] = [J]$  iff  $K = Rz$  for some  $z \in K$  of smallest degree.

Use linear algebra over  $k[x]$ !

---

## Bases, matrices and degree function

**Integral basis**  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in R$  of  $R$ :

- $\forall z \in R : \exists$  unique  $\lambda_i \in k[x]$  such that  $z = \sum_i \lambda_i \omega_i$ .
- Multiplication table  $\lambda_{i,j,v} \in k[x]$ :  $\omega_i \omega_j = \sum_v \lambda_{i,j,v} \omega_v$ .

**Ideal basis**  $\alpha_i \in I$  of ideal  $I$ :

- $\forall z \in I : \exists$  unique  $\lambda_i \in k[x]$  such that  $z = \sum_i \lambda_i \alpha_i$ .
- Basis matrix  $M_I \in k[x]^{n \times n}$ :  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) M_I$ .

**Principal ideal**  $I$ :

- $I = Rz$  for some  $z \in I$ .
- Representation matrix  $M_z \in k[x]^{n \times n}$ :  $(z\omega_1, \dots, z\omega_n) = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) M_z$ .

**Degree function**:

- $\deg^*(z) = -v_P(z)$  for  $z \in R$ ,  $\deg^*(I) = \deg(\det(M_I))$ .
- Have  $\deg^*(x) = \deg^*(Rx) = n$ .

---

## Bounded representations

Fix  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  with successively smallest  $\deg^*$ -values and let  $d = g/n$ .

**Theorem**:

1. Elements of  $\text{Cl}(R)$  can be represented by integral ideals  $I$  with  $\deg^*(I) = O(g)$ .
2.  $\deg^*(I) = O(g)$  iff there is a basis matrix  $M_I$  with  $\deg(M_I) = O(d)$ .
3.  $\deg^*(\sum_i \lambda_i \omega_i) = O(g)$  iff  $\deg(\lambda_i) = O(d)$  for all  $i$ .
4. There is a basis  $\alpha_i$  of  $I$  with  $\deg^*(\alpha_i) = \deg^*(I) + O(g)$  for all  $i$ .

Represent elements of  $\text{Cl}(R)$  by integral ideals with  $n \times n$  basis matrices of degree  $O(d)$ .

( KM proceeds in the end quite similar ... )

---

## Linear algebra over polynomial rings

References: Storjohann, Villard, ...

**Matrix multiplication in dimension  $n$  and degree  $d$** :

- Time  $O(d^2 n^3)$ .

**Degree reduction (function field LLL, weak Popov form)**:

- Let  $M = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in k[x]^{n \times m}$ ,  $r$  be the rank of  $M$ ,  $d = \deg(M) = \max_i \deg(v_i)$  the maximum polynomial degree in  $M$ .
- $M$  is reduced iff  $\deg(\sum_i \lambda_i v_i) = \max_i \deg(\lambda_i v_i)$  for all  $\lambda_i \in k[x]$ .
- $M$  can be transformed into reduced matrix by unimodular column operations in time  $O(d^2 n m r)$ .

**Kernel of  $M$** :

- Assume  $M$  has a basis matrix  $K$  for the  $k[x]$ -column kernel with  $\deg(K) \leq d$  and that  $m \geq n$ .
- Then such a  $K$  can be computed in time  $O(d^2 m^3)$ .

---

## Ideal basis reduction

**Ideal basis reduction for  $I$  with  $\deg^*(I) = O(g)$** :

- Let  $d_i = \lceil \deg^*(\omega_i)/n \rceil$ . Then  $d_i = O(d)$ .
- Let  $M_I$  be a basis matrix of  $I$  with  $\deg(M_I) = O(d)$ .

**Algorithm**:

- Multiply the  $i$ -th row of  $M_I$  by  $x^{d_i}$  for all  $i$
- Apply the reduction algorithm.
- Divide the  $i$ -th row of the result by  $x^{d_i}$  for all  $i$ .
- Denote the result by  $M_I$ .

The basis elements  $\alpha_i$  then satisfy  $\deg^*(\alpha_i) \leq \deg^*(I) + O(g)$ .

Hence  $\deg(M_I) \leq cd$  for some absolute constant  $c$ .

Required time  $O(d^2 n^3)$ .

## Simple multiplication

Compute reduced basis of  $zI$  for  $z \in R$  with  $\deg^*(z) = O(g)$  and  $I$  integral ideal with  $\deg^*(I) = O(g)$ .

Algorithm:

- Compute representation matrix  $M_z$  of  $z$  wrt  $\omega_i$ .  
If  $z = \sum_i \mu_i \omega_i$  then  $z\omega_j = \sum_v (\sum_i \mu_i \lambda_{i,j,v}) \omega_v$ .
- Multiply  $M_z$  and basis matrix of  $I$  to obtain a basis matrix of  $zI$ .
- Apply ideal basis reduction.

Note  $\deg^*(zI) = \deg^*(z) + \deg^*(I)$ .

Each step requires time  $O(d^2n^3)$ .

## Integral division

Let  $I, J$  with  $I|J$  and  $\deg^*(J) = O(g)$ . Compute  $JI^{-1} = \{z \in R \mid zI \subseteq J\}$ .

- Let  $I = \sum_{j=1}^h R\beta_j$  and  $M_J$  be the basis matrix of  $J$ .
- For  $z = \sum_i \lambda_i \omega_i$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)^t \in k[x]^n$ :

$$z \in JI^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \exists v_i \in k[x]^n : \begin{pmatrix} M_{\beta_1} & M_J & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ M_{\beta_h} & & & M_J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_h \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Algorithm:

- Compute basis of kernel of big matrix, has rank  $n$  and degree  $O(d)$ .
- Apply ideal basis reduction to top  $n \times n$  matrix.

Required time  $O(d^2(hn)^3)$ .

(For  $h$  big compute kernel in a different way.)

## Principal ideal test

Principal ideal test for  $I$  with  $\deg^*(I) = O(g)$ :

- $\deg^*(z) \geq \deg^*(I)$  for all  $z \in I$ ,
- $I = Rz$  iff  $z \in I$  and  $\deg^*(z) = \deg^*(I)$ .
- Let  $\alpha_i$  be a reduced ideal basis.
- The ideal basis reduction also yields integers  $e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_n$  with  $\mathcal{L}(I, r) = \{z \in I \mid \deg^*(z) \leq rn\} = \{\sum_i \lambda_i \alpha_i \mid \deg(\lambda_i) \leq -e_i + r\}$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- If  $z \in R$  such that  $\deg^*(zI) = rn$ , then  $zI$  principal iff  $\mathcal{L}(zI, r) \neq \emptyset$ .

Algorithm:

- Compute  $z \in R$  such that  $\deg^*(zI) = rn$  and  $\deg^*(z) = O(g)$ .
- Using ideal basis reduction on  $zI$  check  $\mathcal{L}(zI, r) \neq \emptyset$ .

Required time  $O(d^2n^3)$ .

## Ideal generating sets

Time for integral division is  $O(d^2(hn)^3)$ .

Let  $I$  be an ideal with  $\deg^*(I) = O(g)$  and reduced basis  $\alpha_i$ .

Let  $h = \max\{\log_q(g), 2\}$ .

Proposition (KM):

- A random choice of  $h$  elements  $\beta_j$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^n k\alpha_i$  is a generating system for  $I$  with probability  $\geq 1/2$ .

Algorithm for integral division:

- Choose  $h$  random such  $\beta_j$  (for  $n = O(1)$  we can take the  $\alpha_i$ ).
- Compute reduced basis of  $J/\sum_j R\beta_j$ .
- If  $\deg^*(J/\sum_j R\beta_j) \neq \deg^*(J) - \deg^*(I)$  then repeat.

Required expected time  $O(d^2n^3)$ .

---

## Multiplication table speed up

Time for representation matrix computation  $O(d^2n^3)$ .

Use FFT inspired technique:

Define  $\phi : \mathcal{L}(2r \cdot P) \rightarrow \prod_j R/\mathfrak{p}_j^{r_j}$  with  $\sum_j r_j \deg^*(\mathfrak{p}_j) > 2r$  for some large enough  $r = O(g)$ .

$\phi$  is injective,  $k$ -linear and  $\phi(z_1 z_2) = \phi(z_1)\phi(z_2)$  for  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{L}(r \cdot P)$ .

KM: For  $d = O(1)$  we only have to do linear algebra over  $k$ .

- Hence do all computations in  $\prod_j R/\mathfrak{p}_j^{r_j}$ .
- Choose for example  $r_j = 1$  and  $\deg(\mathfrak{p}_j) = 1$ .
- Then representation matrix computation requires time  $O(g^2)$ .

---

## Multiplication table speed up

Assume there is  $y \in R$  with  $\omega_i = y^{i-1}$ .

- Then  $(n-1)(\deg^*(y)-1) = 2g$  and we have a  $C_{a,b}$  curve.
- Plane curve equation has degree  $n$  in  $y$  and degree  $O(d)$  in  $x$ .
- Representation matrix computation requires time  $O(d^2n^2)$ .

Faster linear algebra over polynomials:

- the required operations should have running time  $O^{\sim}(dn^{\omega})$ .
- Representation matrix computation should be possible in time  $O^{\sim}(dn^{\omega})$  using the FFT inspired technique and completions.

---

## Conclusion

The overall running time is  $O^{\sim}(d^2n^3) = O^{\sim}(g^2n)$  where  $dn = g$ .

- For  $d = O(1)$  we obtain  $O^{\sim}(g^3)$  (KM).
- For  $n = O(1)$  we obtain  $O(g^2)$  (H).
- For  $C_{a,b}$  curves we obtain  $O^{\sim}(g^{5/2})$ .

The running time should be completely linkable to linear algebra over polynomial rings, resulting in  $O^{\sim}(dn^{\omega}) = O^{\sim}(gn^{\omega-1})$ .

An  $n = O(1)$  and time  $O(g^2)$  implementation is available in the computer algebra systems Kash and Magma.