# GENERALIZATIONS OF VERHEUL'S THEOREM TO ASYMMETRIC PAIRINGS

KORAY KARABINA, EDWARD KNAPP, AND ALFRED MENEZES

ABSTRACT. For symmetric pairings  $e: \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}_T$ , Verheul proved that the existence of an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}$  implies that the Diffie-Hellman problems in  $\mathbb{G}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_T$  can be efficiently solved. In this paper, we explore the implications of the existence of efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\phi_2: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  for asymmetric pairings  $e: \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$ . We also give a simplified proof of Verheul's theorem.

#### 1. Introduction

Let r be a prime number, let  $\mathbb{G}$  be an additively-written group of order r, and let  $\mathbb{G}_T$  be a multiplicatively-written group of order r. A symmetric pairing (also called a Type 1 pairing) on  $(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}_T)$  is an efficiently-computable non-degenerate bilinear map  $e: \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}_T$ ; see [9]. In the cryptographic literature, such pairings are generally constructed using the Weil and Tate pairings where  $\mathbb{G}$  is a subgroup of the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points on a supersingular elliptic curve defined over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_T$  is the order-r subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*$ , and where k is the smallest positive integer for which  $r \mid (q^k - 1)$  (called the embedding degree of  $\mathbb{G}$ ).

The discrete logarithm problem in  $\mathbb{G}$ , denoted  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$ , is the following: given a generator  $P \in \mathbb{G}$  and a second point  $Q \in \mathbb{G}$ , find the integer  $\ell$  modulo r such that  $Q = \ell P$ . Similarly, the discrete logarithm problem in  $\mathbb{G}_T$ , denoted  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$ , is the following: given a generator  $g \in \mathbb{G}_T$  and a second element  $h \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , find the integer  $\ell$  modulo r such that  $h = g^{\ell}$ . As observed in [8, 16], for any fixed generator  $P \in \mathbb{G}$  the map  $\xi : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}_T$  defined by  $\xi : Q \mapsto e(P,Q)$  is an efficiently-computable isomorphism from  $\mathbb{G}$  to  $\mathbb{G}_T$ . Thus,  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$  can be efficiently reduced to  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$ . These so-called Weil and Tate pairing attacks on  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$  had negative consequences for the security of discrete-log cryptographic schemes in  $\mathbb{G}$ , because at the time they were discovered subexponential-time

Date: Feb 5, 2012; revised on September 7, 2012.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 94A60.

Key words and phrases. Verheul's theorem, asymmetric pairings, cryptography, discrete logarithm problem.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the remainder of the paper, "efficient" is understood to mean "polynomial-time". When we say "algorithm" and "reduction", we mean "efficient algorithm" and "efficient reduction".

algorithms were known for solving  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  [6, 7] whereas no subexponential-time algorithms for  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$  were known.

At CRYPTO 2000, Lenstra and Verheul [15] presented XTR, a discrete-log public-key cryptosystem which operates in an order-r subgroup X of the order- $(p^2-p+1)$  cyclotomic subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^6}^*$ ; here p is a prime. XTR was claimed to be as efficient as elliptic curve cryptography, but without being affected by the uncertainty that was still marring the security of elliptic curve cryptography at the time. At the Rump Session of CRYPTO 2000, Menezes and Vanstone [17] observed that there is a supersingular elliptic curve E defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{n^2}$ of embedding degree 3 with  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{n^2}) = p^2 - p + 1$ . Thus, the Weil and Tate pairings yield an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\xi: \mathbb{G} \to X$ , where  $\mathbb{G}$ is the order-r subgroup of  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ . Menezes and Vanstone asked about the existence of an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi: X \to \mathbb{G}$ , which would establish the equivalence of  $DL_{\mathbb{G}}$  and  $DL_X$ . However, Verheul [24] gave some evidence that such an isomorphism  $\phi$  was unlikely to exist. More generally, Verheul proved that if there exists an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi$ :  $\mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}$ , where  $e: \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}_T$  is a symmetric pairing, then  $\mathrm{DH}_{\mathbb{G}}$  and  $\mathrm{DH}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$ can be efficiently solved. Here,  $DH_{\mathbb{G}}$  is the Diffie-Hellman problem in  $\mathbb{G}$ : given  $P, aP, bP \in \mathbb{G}$ , compute abP;  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  is analogously defined. Verheul's theorem is striking because the only method known for solving the Diffie-Hellman problem in a group is to first solve the discrete logarithm problem in that group.

Verheul's theorem has been generalized to other symmetric pairings including those derived from supersingular elliptic curves E defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  where  $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$  is the product of two cyclic groups of order q-1 (such elliptic curves can be considered to have embedding degree  $k=\frac{1}{2}$ ) [19], and for certain ordinary elliptic curves with embedding degree k=1 [13].

For order-r groups  $\mathbb{G}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_2$  and  $\mathbb{G}_T$  with  $\mathbb{G}_1 \neq \mathbb{G}_2$ , an asymmetric pairing on  $(\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \mathbb{G}_T)$  is an efficiently-computable non-degenerate bilinear map  $e: \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$ ; see [9]. Such pairings can be constructed using the Weil and Tate pairings where  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\mathbb{G}_2$  are subgroups of the group of r-torsion points on an ordinary elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and where  $\mathbb{G}_T$  is the order-r subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*$ . Two kinds of asymmetric pairings have been considered in the cryptographic literature —  $Type\ 2$  pairings where an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\psi_2: \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_1$  is known but no such isomorphism from  $\mathbb{G}_1$  to  $\mathbb{G}_2$  is known, and  $Type\ 3$  pairings where efficiently-computable isomorphisms from  $\mathbb{G}_1$  to  $\mathbb{G}_2$  and from  $\mathbb{G}_2$  to  $\mathbb{G}_1$  are not known [12].

For asymmetric pairings  $e: \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$ , it is natural to generalize Verheul's work and ask about the cryptographic implications of the existence of efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\phi_2: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$ . Several papers have analyzed specific algorithms for inverting isomorphisms  $\phi: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}$  for symmetric pairings and isomorphisms  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  for asymmetric pairings [10, 21, 22, 23]. All these algorithms are inefficient, providing some evidence that Verheul isomorphisms  $\phi$ ,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  cannot be feasibly computed. Nonetheless, these works do not rule out the possibility that efficient algorithms may exist. Since efficiently-computable Verheul isomorphisms can have devastating consequences for the security of pairing-based protocols (e.g., see Remark 1), it is important to collect further evidence for their non-existence.

In [11], Galbraith, Hess and Vercauteren studied the implications of the existence of efficient algorithms for certain pairing inversion problems: (i) given  $R \in \mathbb{G}_1$  and  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , find  $S \in \mathbb{G}_2$  with e(R,S) = z; and (ii) given  $S \in \mathbb{G}_2$  and  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , find  $R \in \mathbb{G}_1$  with e(R,S) = z. The results in [11] are purported to be generalizations and refinements of Verheul's theorem to asymmetric pairings. However, a strict generalization of Verheul's theorem would be concerned with efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_1$  from  $\mathbb{G}_T$  to  $\mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\phi_2$  from  $\mathbb{G}_T$  to  $\mathbb{G}_2$ . In this paper, we explore the implications of the existence of such isomorphisms.

The contributions of our paper are the following:

- (1) In §2 we provide a short proof of Verheul's theorem by establishing the equivalence of a certain fixed argument pairing inversion problem and the problem of computing an isomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}$ . Our proof is considerably simpler than Verheul's original proof (see Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Theorem 4 of [24]) which, among other things, determines the equivalence of three variants of the Diffie-Hellman problem.
- (2) In §2 we argue that efficiently-computable reductions from  $DL_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  to  $DL_{\mathbb{G}}$  are more general than efficiently-computable isomorphisms from  $\mathbb{G}_T$  to  $\mathbb{G}$ . Consequently, Verheul's theorem can be viewed as providing somewhat limited evidence that  $DL_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  is harder than  $DL_{\mathbb{G}}$ .
- (3) In §3 we show that the existence of efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\phi_2: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  imply that  $\mathrm{DH}_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ ,  $\mathrm{DH}_{\mathbb{G}_2}$ , and  $\mathrm{DH}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  can be efficiently solved.
- (4) In §3 we show that the existence of an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  (resp.  $\phi: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$ ) implies that  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  (resp.  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ ) can be solved in 'Cheon time' which, under suitable conditions, is optimized to  $\tilde{O}(r^{1/3})$ . This is significantly faster than the running time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{r})$  required by Pollard's rho algorithm [20].

## 2. Symmetric pairings

Let  $e: \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}_T$  be a symmetric pairing. An isomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}$  is defined by its action on some generator  $g \in \mathbb{G}$ ; say  $\phi(g) = P$ . The Compute- $\phi$  problem is the following: given  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , compute  $\phi(z)$ . The Fixed Argument Pairing Inversion (FAPI) problem is the following: given  $R \in \mathbb{G}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , determine  $S \in \mathbb{G}$  such that e(R, S) = z.

**Lemma 1.** The FAPI and Compute- $\phi$  problems are computationally equivalent

*Proof.* The proof that Compute- $\phi$  reduces to FAPI is straightforward. Suppose that we are given  $z = g^{\ell}$  and a FAPI oracle; we wish to compute

 $\phi(z) = \ell P$ . We first use the FAPI oracle to find  $Q \in \mathbb{G}$  such that e(P,Q) = g, and then use the FAPI oracle to find  $R \in \mathbb{G}$  for which e(Q,R) = z; note that  $R = \ell P$ .

We now prove that FAPI reduces to Compute- $\phi$ . So, given  $R \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , and an oracle for Compute- $\phi$ , we wish to compute  $S \in \mathbb{G}$  with e(R,S) = z. Let R = aP, S = bP,  $e(P,P) = g^c$ , and  $z = g^t$ . Since  $e(aP,bP) = g^t$ , we have  $bP = a^{-1}c^{-1}tP$ . Now, define  $T(i) = a^ic^{i-1}P$  for  $i \geq 1$ , and note that T(1) = R. Given T(i) and T(j), one can efficiently compute T(i+j) since

$$\phi(e(T(i),T(j))) = \phi(g^{a^{i+j}c^{i+j-1}}) = a^{i+j}c^{i+j-1}P = T(i+j).$$

Thus, by processing the bits of the binary representation of r-2 from left to right, one can use a double-and-add strategy to efficiently compute

$$T(r-2) = a^{r-2}c^{r-3}P = a^{-1}c^{-2}P.$$

Finally, one can efficiently compute  $\phi(g^t)=tP$ ,  $e(tP,a^{-1}c^{-2}P)=g^{a^{-1}c^{-1}t}$  and  $\phi(g^{a^{-1}c^{-1}t})=a^{-1}c^{-1}tP=S$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}$  be an efficiently-computable isomorphism defined by  $\phi(g) = P$ . Then  $\phi^{-1} : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}_T$  can be efficiently computed.

Proof. Let  $e(P, P) = g^c$ . It follows from the proof of Lemma 1 that  $c^{-1}P = T(r-1)$  can be efficiently computed. Then, for any given point  $Q \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $\phi^{-1}(Q) = e(Q, c^{-1}P)$  can be efficiently computed.

Lemma 1 immediately gives a short proof of Verheul's Theorem.

**Theorem 1** (Verheul). Let  $\phi : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}$  be an isomorphism defined by  $\phi(g) = P$  and suppose that  $\phi$  can be efficiently computed. Then  $DH_{\mathbb{G}}$  and  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  can be efficiently solved.

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, we can efficiently solve the FAPI problem.

Suppose that we are given a  $\mathrm{DH}_{\mathbb{G}}$  instance (Q,aQ,bQ) and wish to compute abQ. We compute z=e(aQ,bQ) and then find  $R\in\mathbb{G}$  such that e(Q,R)=z; note that R=abQ.

Suppose now that we are given a  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  instance  $(h, h^x, h^y)$  and wish to compute  $h^{xy}$ . We do the following:

- (i) Find  $Q \in \mathbb{G}$  with e(P,Q) = h.
- (ii) Find  $R \in \mathbb{G}$  with  $e(Q, R) = h^x$ ; note that R = xP.
- (iii) Find  $S \in \mathbb{G}$  with  $e(P, S) = h^y$ ; note that S = yQ.
- (iv) Compute  $e(R, S) = e(xP, yQ) = h^{xy}$ .

As mentioned in §1, the point of Verheul's theorem is to argue that  $DL_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  cannot be reduced to  $DL_{\mathbb{G}}$ , thus providing evidence that  $DL_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  is harder than  $DL_{\mathbb{G}}$ . However, as observed in [14], Verheul's theorem can also be viewed as having negative consequences for pairing-based cryptography wherein someone who mistrusts the security of elliptic curve cryptosystems may have their worries allayed by the assurance that  $DL_{\mathbb{G}}$  is no easier than  $DL_{\mathbb{G}_T}$ .

A more relevant question is whether there exists a reduction of  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  to  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$ . Such a reduction is an algorithm  $\mathcal{R}$  which on input  $h,h^x\in\mathbb{G}_T$  and an oracle for solving  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$ , computes x. Clearly, an algorithm for computing some isomorphism  $\phi:\mathbb{G}_T\to\mathbb{G}$  is also a reduction of  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  to  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$ . The interesting question is whether every reduction of  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  to  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$  in fact yields an efficiently-computable isomorphism from  $\mathbb{G}_T$  to  $\mathbb{G}$ . By Lemma 1, an equivalent question is whether every reduction of  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  to  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$  yields an efficient FAPI solver:

Question 1. Is there an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  which, when given oracle access to a reduction algorithm  $\mathcal{R}$  and inputs  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ ,  $R \in \mathbb{G}$ , outputs  $S \in \mathbb{G}$  such that e(R,S) = z?

Suppose there is an efficient algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  which solves the problem posed in Question 1 when given black-box access to  $\mathcal{R}^2$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  can be used to efficiently solve the following mixed FAPI-DL $_{\mathbb{G}}$  problem: given  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ ,  $R \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{G}$ ,  $xU \in \mathbb{G}$ , compute x or  $S \in \mathbb{G}$  with e(R,S) = z. Namely, given a FAPI-DL $_{\mathbb{G}}$  problem instance (z, R, U, xU), we invoke algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  with inputs (z, R). If  $\mathcal{A}$  does not make any calls to its oracle  $\mathcal{R}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs S which solves the FAPI-DL $_{\mathbb{G}}$  instance. If  $\mathcal{A}$  makes a call to  $\mathcal{R}$ , then we (in our role as simulator for  $\mathcal{R}$ ), request the solution of the DL $_{\mathbb{G}}$  instance (U, xU); since  $\mathcal{A}$  is responsible for answering  $\mathcal{R}$ 's oracle queries,  $\mathcal{A}$  returns x which again solves the FAPI-DL $_{\mathbb{G}}$  instance.

Since FAPI-DL<sub> $\mathbb{G}$ </sub> is expected to be intractable, the above argument suggests that reductions of  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  to  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$  are in fact more general than efficiently-computable isomorphisms from  $\mathbb{G}_T$  to  $\mathbb{G}$ . Hence, Verheul's theorem can be viewed as providing somewhat limited evidence that  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  is harder than  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$  since it does not fully address the question of whether there is a (general) reduction from  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  to  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}}$ .

#### 3. Asymmetric pairings

Let  $e: \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$  be an asymmetric pairing, where  $\mathbb{G}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_T$  are groups of prime order r and  $\mathbb{G}_1 \neq \mathbb{G}_2$ . Furthermore, let g be a fixed generator of  $\mathbb{G}_T$ . An isomorphism  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  is defined by its action on g; say  $\phi_1(g) = P_1$ . Similarly, an isomorphism  $\phi_2: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  is defined by its action on g; say  $\phi_2(g) = P_2$ . The  $Compute \cdot \phi_1$  problem is the following: given  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , compute  $\phi_1(z)$ . The  $Compute \cdot \phi_2$  problem is the following: given  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , compute  $\phi_2(z)$ . The z0 problem is the following: given z1 problem is the following: given z2 problem is the following: given z3 given z4 problem is the following: given z5 problem is the following: given z6 problem is the following: given z7 given z8 problem is the following: given z9 problem

Galbraith, Hess and Vercauteren [11] proved the following:

**Theorem 2.** (i) Suppose that FAPI-1 and FAPI-2 can both be efficiently solved. Then  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ ,  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  and  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  can be efficiently solved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We have nothing useful to say in the case where  $\mathcal{A}$  is given non-black-box access to  $\mathcal{R}$ .

- (ii) Suppose that FAPI-1 can be efficiently solved, and suppose that we have an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\psi_2: \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_1$ . Then FAPI-2 can be efficiently solved.
- (iii) Suppose that FAPI-2 can be efficiently solved, and suppose that we have an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\psi_1: \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$ . Then FAPI-1 can be efficiently solved.

Suppose that FAPI-2 can be efficiently solved. Then one can easily construct an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  — select arbitrary  $S \in \mathbb{G}_2$  and  $g \in \mathbb{G}_T$  and define  $\phi_1$  by  $g \mapsto P$  where e(P,S) = g; then  $\phi_1(z) = R$  where e(R,S) = z. However, it is not known whether an efficient FAPI-2 solver can be constructed from an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$ . The next result provides a partial answer to this question.

- **Theorem 3.** (i) Suppose that efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_1$ :  $\mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\psi_1 : \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$  are known. Then FAPI-2 can be efficiently solved.
  - (ii) Suppose that efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_2 : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  and  $\psi_2 : \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_1$  are known. Then FAPI-1 can be efficiently solved.

*Proof.* We prove (i); the proof of (ii) is analogous.

Given  $S \in \mathbb{G}_2$  and  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$ , we wish to find  $R \in \mathbb{G}_1$  with e(R,S) = z. Defining the map  $\rho : \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_1$  by  $\rho(V) = \phi_1(e(U,V))$  for some fixed generator  $U \in \mathbb{G}_1$ , induces the symmetric pairing  $e_2 : \mathbb{G}_2 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$  such that  $e_2(V_1, V_2) = e(\rho(V_1), V_2)$ . Applying Corollary 1 to  $(e_2, \psi_1 \circ \phi_1)$ , we compute  $S_1 = (\psi_1 \circ \phi_1)^{-1}(S)$  and set  $S_2 = \phi_1(S_1) = \psi_1^{-1}(S)$ . Now, noting that  $\psi_1$  induces the symmetric pairing  $e_1 : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_T$  such that  $e_1(U_1, U_2) = e(U_1, \psi_1(U_2))$ , and that FAPI for  $e_1$  and the Compute- $\phi_1$  problems are equivalent by Lemma 1, we obtain R satisfying  $e_1(R, S_2) = z$  by solving a FAPI instance for  $e_1$ . This R is indeed a solution to the original FAPI-2 problem because  $e(R, S) = e(R, \psi_1(S_2)) = e_1(R, S_2) = z$ .

The next result, which can be viewed as a refinement of Verheul's theorem for asymmetric pairings, follows immediately from Theorems 2 and 3.

- **Corollary 2.** (i) Suppose that efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_1$ :  $\mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\psi_1 : \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$  are known. Then  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ ,  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  and  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  can be efficiently solved.
  - (ii) Suppose that efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_2 : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  and  $\psi_2 : \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_1$  are known. Then  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ ,  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  and  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  can be efficiently solved.

**Corollary 3.** Suppose that efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\phi_2 : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  are known. Then FAPI-1 and FAPI-2 can be efficiently solved.

*Proof.* We show that FAPI-2 can be efficiently solved. Fix a generator V of  $\mathbb{G}_2$ . Then  $\psi_1: \mathbb{G}_1 \to \mathbb{G}_2$  defined by  $\psi_1(P) = \phi_2(e(P,V))$  is an efficiently-computable isomorphism. By Theorem 3(i), FAPI-2 can be efficiently solved.

Theorem 2(i) and Corollary 3 immediately give the following generalization of Verheul's theorem for asymmetric pairings.

**Corollary 4.** Suppose that efficiently-computable isomorphisms  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  and  $\phi_2: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  are known. Then  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_1}$ ,  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  and  $DH_{\mathbb{G}_T}$  can be efficiently solved.

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be an additively-written group of prime order r, and suppose that  $d \mid r-1$ . Cheon [5] (see also [4]) showed how, given  $P, xP, x^dP \in \mathbb{G}$ , one can compute x in time

$$O\left(\log r\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{d}} + \sqrt{d}\right)\right)$$

and memory  $O(\max\{\sqrt{r/d}, \sqrt{d}\})$ . Note that if  $d \approx r^{1/3}$ , the running time and memory of Cheon's algorithm is  $\tilde{O}(r^{1/3})$ , which is faster than the running time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{r})$  of Pollard's rho algorithm for computing logarithms in  $\mathbb{G}$ . Morales [18] showed that if FAPI-2 can be efficiently solved for an asymmetric pairing  $e: \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$ , then  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  can be solved in time

(1) 
$$O\left(\log r\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{d}} + \sqrt{d}\right) + d\right)$$

with d calls to the FAPI-2 oracle. For convenience, we will refer to (1) as 'Cheon time'.

We present extensions of Morales's result to the situation where an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  is known. We let P, Q, g denote fixed generators of  $\mathbb{G}_1, \mathbb{G}_2, \mathbb{G}_T$  with e(P,Q) = g.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  is known. Then FAPI-2 can be solved in Cheon time.

Proof. Let  $S \in \mathbb{G}_2$  and  $z \in \mathbb{G}_T$  be an instance of the FAPI-2 problem. Let S = yQ and  $z = g^{xy}$  for some  $x, y \in [1, r-1]$ . Our goal is to compute R = xP. Let  $\phi_1(g) = aP$ . Define  $z_i = z^{(ay)^i}$  and  $P_i = (ay)^i xP$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Since  $z_0 = z$ ,  $P_{i+1} = \phi_1(z_i)$ , and  $e(P_i, S) = z_i$  for  $i \geq 0$ , we can iteratively compute  $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_d$  in time  $\tilde{O}(d)$ . Now, using Cheon's algorithm with input  $z_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ , we can compute ay in Cheon time. Finally, we compute  $R = (ay)^{-1}P_1 = xP$ .

Theorem 4 and Morales's result immediately show, given an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$ , that  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  can be solved in time  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{rd}+d^2)$ . However, this result is not interesting since Pollard's rho algorithm already solves  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  in  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{r})$  time. Theorem 5 is a useful variant of this result.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1 : \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  is known, and suppose that  $\phi_1(g) = aP$ . Then the integer a can be computed in Cheon time.

Proof. Let  $P_i = a^i P$  and  $g_i = g^{a^i}$  for  $i \ge 0$ . Since  $P_1 = aP$ ,  $e(P_i, Q) = g_i$ , and  $\phi_1(g_i) = P_{i+1}$ , we can iteratively compute  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_d$  in time  $\tilde{O}(d)$ . Finally, Cheon's algorithm can be used to compute a.

**Theorem 5.** (i) Suppose that an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1$ :  $\mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  is known. Then  $DL_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  can be solved in Cheon time.

(ii) Suppose that an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_2: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  is known. Then  $DL_{\mathbb{G}_1}$  can be solved in Cheon time.

*Proof.* We prove (i); the proof of (ii) is analogous.

Let  $\phi_1(g) = aP$ . Suppose that we are given a  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  instance (Q,S); we need to find the modulo-r integer y such that S = yQ. Let  $P_i = (ay)^i aP$  and  $g_i = g^{(ay)^i}$  for  $i \geq 0$ . Since  $P_0 = aP$ ,  $e(P_i, S) = g_{i+1}$ , and  $\phi_1(g_i) = P_i$ , we can iteratively compute  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_d$  in time  $\tilde{O}(d)$ . Next, Cheon's algorithm can be used to compute  $ay \mod r$ . Finally, a can be computed in Cheon time using Lemma 2, and thereafter  $y = a^{-1}(ay) \mod r$  can be immediately computed.

Remark 1. By Theorem 5, the existence of either an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  or an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_2: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  will have damaging consequences to the security of pairing-based protocols. For example, in the Boneh-Lynn-Shacham signature scheme [3], an entity's private key is an integer x selected uniformly at random from the interval [1, r-1], and the corresponding public key is X = xQ. The entity's signature on a message  $m \in \{0,1\}^*$  is  $\sigma = xM$ , where M = H(m) and  $H: \{0,1\}^* \to \mathbb{G}_1$  is a hash function. The signed message  $(m,\sigma)$  can be verified by computing M = H(m) and checking that  $e(\sigma,Q) = e(M,X)$ . If an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$  is known, then the  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  instance (Q,X) can be solved in Cheon time to recover the private key x. If an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_2: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_2$  is known then, given a single signed message  $(m,\sigma)$ , the  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_1}$  instance  $(M,\sigma)$  can be solved in Cheon time to determine x.

**Remark 2.** Let  $u = -(2^{62} + 2^{55} + 1)$  and consider the elliptic curve

$$(2) E: Y^2 = X^3 + 2$$

defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $p = 36u^4 + 36u^3 + 24u^2 + 6u + 1$ . This elliptic curve is in the Barreto-Naehrig [2] family of pairing-friendly curves with embedding degree k = 12, and has the property that

(3) 
$$r = \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = 36u^4 + 36u^3 + 18u^2 + 6u + 1$$

is a 254-bit prime. Let  $\mathbb{G}_1 = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , let  $\mathbb{G}_2$  be the order-r subgroup of  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^{12}})$  comprising of points P for which  $\sum_{i=0}^{11} \pi^i(P) = \infty$  ( $\pi$  being the p-power Frobenius), and let  $\mathbb{G}_T$  be the order-r subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{12}}^*$ . As shown in [1], there

is a very efficient implementation of a Type 3 pairing  $e: \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$ . One can check that there exists an 85-bit divisor d of r-1 which optimizes Cheon time (1). Hence, by Theorem 5(i), if there exists an efficiently-computable isomorphism  $\phi_1: \mathbb{G}_T \to \mathbb{G}_1$ , then  $\mathrm{DL}_{\mathbb{G}_2}$  can be solved in roughly  $2^{85}$  time — much faster than the  $2^{127}$  time required by Pollard's rho algorithm.

### Acknowlegements

We would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments, and for the simplified proofs of Theorem 3 and Corollary 3.

## References

- [1] D. Aranha, K. Karabina, P. Longa, C. Gebotys and J. López, "Faster explicit formulas for computing pairings over ordinary curves", *Advances in Cryptology EUROCRYPT 2011*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 6632 (2011), 48–68.
- P. Barreto and M. Naehrig, "Pairing-friendly elliptic curves of prime order", Selected Areas in Cryptography – SAC 2005, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3897 (2006), 319–331.
- [3] D. Boneh, B. Lynn, and H. Shacham, "Short signatures from the Weil pairing", Journal of Cryptology, 17 (2004), 297–319.
- [4] D. Brown and R. Gallant, "The static Diffie-Hellman problem", http://eprint.iacr.org/ 2004/306.
- [5] J. Cheon, "Security analysis of the Strong Diffie-Hellman problem', Advances in Cryptology EUROCRYPT 2006, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 4004 (2006), 1–11.
- [6] D. Coppersmith, "Fast evaluation of logarithms in fields of characteristic two", *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 30 (1984), 587–594.
- [7] T. ElGamal, "A subexponential-time algorithm for computing discrete logarithms over GF(p<sup>2</sup>)", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 31 (1985), 473–481.
- [8] G. Frey and H. Rück, "A remark concerning m-divisibility and the discrete logarithm in the divisor class group of curves", Mathematics of Computation, 62 (1994), 865–874.
- [9] S. Galbraith, Pairings, Ch. IX of I. Blake, G. Seroussi, and N. Smart, eds., Advances in Elliptic Curve Cryptography, Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [10] S. Galbraith, C. Ó hÉigeartaigh and C. Sheedy, "Simplified pairing computation and security implications", Journal of Mathematical Cryptology, 1 (2007), 267-281.
- [11] S. Galbraith, F. Hess and F. Vercauteren, "Aspects of pairing inversion", IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 54 (2008), 5719–5728.
- [12] S. Galbraith, K. Paterson and N. Smart, "Pairings for cryptographers", Discrete Applied Mathematics, 156 (2008), 3113–3121.
- [13] Z. Hu, M. Xu and Z. Zhou, "A generalization of Verheul's theorem for some ordinary curves", *Inscrypt 2010*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 6584 (2011), 105–114.
- [14] N. Koblitz and A. Menezes, "Pairing-based cryptography at high security levels", Cryptography and Coding: 10th IMA International Conference, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3796 (2005), 13–36.
- [15] A. Lenstra and E. Verheul, "The XTR public key system", Advances in Cryptology -CRYPTO 2000, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1880 (2000), 1–19.
- [16] A. Menezes, T. Okamoto and S. Vanstone, "Reducing elliptic curve logarithms to logarithms in a finite field", *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 39 (1993), 1639–1646.
- [17] A. Menezes and S. Vanstone, "ECSTR (XTR): Elliptic Curve Singular Trace Representation", Rump Session of Crypto 2000.

- [18] D. Mireles Morales, "Cheon's algorithm, pairing inversion and the discrete logarithm problem", http://eprint.iacr.org/2008/300.
- [19] D. Moody, "The Diffie-Hellman problem and generalization of Verheul's theorem", Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 52 (2009), 381–390.
- [20] J. Pollard, "Monte Carlo methods for index computation mod p", Mathematics of Computation, 32 (1978), 918–924.
- [21] T. Satoh, "On degrees of polynomial interpolations related to elliptic curve cryptography", WCC 2005, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3969 (2006), 155–163.
- [22] T. Satoh, "On polynomial interpolations related to Verheul homomorphisms", LMS Journal of Computational Mathematics, 9 (2006), 135–158.
- [23] T. Satoh, "Closed formulae for the Weil pairing inversion", Finite Fields and Their Applications, 14 (2008), 743–765.
- [24] E. Verheul, "Evidence that XTR is more secure than supersingular elliptic curve cryptosystems", Journal of Cryptology, 17 (2004), 277–296.

E-mail address: kkarabin@uwaterloo.ca

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: edward.m.knapp@gmail.com}$ 

E-mail address: ajmeneze@uwaterloo.ca

Department of Combinatorics & Optimization, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1 Canada